

QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG ETHNIC MINORITY ELDERLY IN VIETNAM: IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY

Dang VP Linh¹, Khuong Q Long², Dinh T Thanh¹ and Hoang V Minh^{2,3}

¹Laboratory Centre, ²Centre for Population Health Sciences, ³Health Management Training Institute, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract. The study explored the quality of life of ethnic minority elderly in Vietnam and associated factors employing a cross-sectional design. Elderly of 12 main ethnic minorities were recruited across domains of socioeconomic information and all dimensions in an EQ-5D-5L questionnaire. Overall quality of life index of ethnic minority elderly was 0.81, with 30% of the elderly reporting no problems in each dimension. Advancing age, having communicable diseases and non-communicable diseases are significantly associated with a negative impact on EQ-5D-5L score ($\beta = -0.011$, 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.013 to -0.008, p -value <0.001 ; $\beta = -0.098$, 95% CI: -0.162 to -0.035, p -value = 0.002; and $\beta = -0.092$, 95% CI: -0.134 to -0.051, p -value <0.001 , respectively). In conclusion, notably high quality of life index in ethnic minority older people should be of great interest in public health policy and further studies are needed to address possible explanations to enable the most appropriate intervention model be developed for optimal quality of life of the elderly in Vietnam.

Keywords: elderly, EQ-5D-5L questionnaire, quality of life, ethnic minority, Vietnam

INTRODUCTION

The world's population is aging rapidly and by 2050 the number of people over 60 years old is expected to be nearly two billions, triple of that in 2000 (WorldAtlas, 2017). Vietnam is also experiencing an aging population, with people over 60 years of age rapidly increasing from 6.7% in 1979 to 8.9% in 2009 and will continue to

rise to 30% by 2050 (UN DESA, 2011). Although life expectancy in Vietnam has increased dramatically in the last decade, epidemiological evidence shows prevalence of both communicable and non-communicable diseases in elderly are still profound (Baudon *et al*, 2018; Nguyen *et al*, 2018; Spencer *et al*, 2020).

Aging is a multifaceted phenomenon, requiring viewpoints from different perspective. Ultimately, an assessment of quality of life (QoL) is considered to be the most reliable indicator of successful ageing (Scocco *et al*, 2006). QoL is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as "individuals' perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation

Correspondence: Dinh Thi Thanh, Public Health Laboratory, Hanoi University of Public Health, 1A Duc Thang Road, North Tu Liem District, Hanoi 1000, Vietnam
Tel: +84 936005586
E-mail: dinhthanh2710@gmail.com;
dtt1@huph.edu.vn

to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns" and can be presented in different domains including physical and mental health, social functioning, and emotional well-being (Anonymous, 1995). With rapid economic development in urban areas, young people tend to move to cities for employment, leaving the elderly in rural areas; in Vietnam the proportion of family with only elderly and elderly living alone increased from 12.7 and 4.9% in 1998 to 21.5 and 6.1% in 2008 and to 40.0 and 9.4% in 2011, respectively (UN FPA, 2011; HelpAge International, 2020). The increased percent elderly living alone is probably due in part to economic development, industrialization and urbanization within the country (Nguyen and Nguyen, 2010; Puschmann and Solli, 2014; Srivastava, 2009). There have been different intervention programs for the elderly all over Vietnam; however, while these programs are implemented mainly in urban areas more than 70% of elderly people reside in rural areas where limited financial resources, facilities and access to healthcare pose major public service concerns (General Statistics Office of Vietnam, 2020). Among the rural elderly, about 5% are ethnic minorities living in remote areas, adding to existing problems of financial resources, facilities and access to healthcare (WorldAtlas, 2019), leading to distinct characteristics of this subpopulation in terms of socioeconomic status and culture.

The elderly QoL outcomes is generally believed to be associated with such factors as demographics, social interactions, resources, and chronic conditions (Baernholdt *et al*, 2012). Regarding demographic factors, studies show age, gender, ethnicity, education, income, and marital status to be significant determinants of QoL (Bandiera *et al*, 2008;

Zahran *et al*, 2005; Zaninotto *et al*, 2009). Bang *et al* (2017) reported age, self-rated health status, body mass index, and number of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) generally influence QoL (Bang *et al*, 2017). Mudey *et al* (2011) noted on the elderly in urban and rural regions of India that separation from a spouse, poor socioeconomic status, lack of regular exercise, sleep or hearing difficulty, and suffering any kind of illness are negatively associated with QoL (Mudey *et al*, 2011). In Nigeria, factors associated with good QoL are traditional lifestyle, educational level, socioeconomic status, gender and marital stability (Fajemilehin and Odebiyi, 2011). Nilsson *et al* (2012) identified religion and beliefs as additional associated factor for good QoL among senior citizens in Vietnam and Bangladesh (Nilsson *et al*, 2012). On the other hand, QoL tends to be lower among elderly smokers and better among consumers of alcohol (Chan *et al*, 2009; Vogl *et al*, 2012).

Given the significant increase in numbers of the elderly in the last decade, assessing QoL to provide proper intervention for an aging population is a crucial need and a high priority public health issue. To date, there have been two studies determining QoL in older adults in Vietnam: Bang *et al* (2017) assessed QoL of the elderly in areas close to Hanoi and Le *et al* (2011) QoL of senior citizens in a rural area, Bavi. However, as the studies did not evaluate QoL of ethnic groups in Vietnam, the study filled in this gap in information in a survey of QoL in 12 ethnic groups including an analysis of factors associated with QoL status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

This was a cross-sectional study of

the ethnic population in Vietnam and formed part of a large-scale cross-sectional population study (Van Minh *et al*, 2020). Participants were ethnic minority people ≥ 60 years of age from 12 different ethnic groups living in 12 provinces of four socio-economic regions, namely, the northern midland and mountainous region comprising Cao Bang province (Tay ethnicity), Ha Giang province (Mong ethnicity), Lai Chau province (La Hu ethnicity), and Quang Ninh province (Dao ethnicity); the north central and central coast region comprising Binh Dinh province (Banah ethnicity), Ninh Thuan province (Cham Ninh Thuan ethnicity), Quang Tri province (Bru Van Kieu ethnicity), and Thua Thien Hue province (Ta Oi ethnicity); the central highland region comprising Dak Lak province (Mnong ethnicity) and Kon Tum province (Gie Trieng ethnicity); and the Mekong River delta region comprising An Giang province (Cham An Giang ethnicity) and Soc Trang province (Khmer ethnicity).

Sample size and sampling method

Formula and parameters used for the sample size calculation are based on those used for a large-scale study to estimate proportion of people using health services in the previous 12 months (Van Minh *et al*, 2020). With an expected proportion of 0.50, width of 95% confidence interval of 0.10 and design effect of 1.5, at least 576 participants from each ethnic group are calculated to be needed. Total sample size for 12 ethnicities in 12 provinces was 6,912 people (from 1,400 households). In each province, one or two communes with the highest numbers of ethnic minorities were chosen after consulting with local health authorities. Study households were randomly selected from a list of all the households from each chosen commune

and all members of the chosen households were included, a total of 873 elderly people present in a population of 6,912 from 1,400 selected households.

Research protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board, Hanoi University of Public Health (no 435/2018/YTCC-HD3, 01/10/2018). Prior written consent was obtained from each participant who was informed of the right to withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice.

QoL outcomes

Outcomes of QoL were based on dependent and independent variables.

Dependent variables. Dependent variables of QoL outcome were measured by an EQ-5D-5L questionnaire, one of the most widely used instruments to assess QoL (EuroQoL, 2017), which consists of five categories, namely, mobility, self-care, normal activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each category has five response levels, *ie* no, slight, moderate, severe, and extreme problem, designated level 1 to 5, respectively. The participant was requested to indicate his/her health state by choosing the most appropriate statement in each of the 5 dimensions, in which each dimension is denoted by one code from 1 to 5 and the 5-digit number combining the digits of 5 dimensions represents the respondent's health state. While state 11111 indicates no problems on any dimension, state 12345 indicates no problems with mobility, slight problems with washing or dressing, moderate problems with doing usual activities, severe pain or discomfort and extreme anxiety or depression. There are 3,125 possible health state designations ranging from 11111 (most healthy state) to 55555 (least healthy state) (EuroQoL, 2017). These states are then converted

into a single index 'utility' score using a scoring algorithm based on a Vietnamese value set ranging from "0" to "1", where "0" is defined as a state equivalent to "death" and "1" to "full health" using the time trade-off method (Dolan, 1997). The Vietnamese EQ-5D-5L value set was estimated using both composite time trade-off (C-TTO) and discrete choice experiment (DCE) with potential values ranging from -0.5115 to 1 (Mai *et al*, 2020).

Independent variables. Independent variables of QoL consist of demographic characteristics of the study participants: age groups (60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75-79, and ≥ 80 years of age), gender (male, female), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), marital status (single, married, or separated/divorced/widowed), education (none, primary, secondary, or upper secondary), health insurance (yes, no), employment status (employed, unemployed, retired, or others), religion (yes or no), contracted communicable diseases (yes or no), contracted non-communicable diseases (yes or no), smoking (yes or no), alcohol consumption (yes or no), region of residence (northern midlands and mountainous, central coast, central highlands, Mekong River Delta), living in disadvantaged area (yes or no), and household economic classification (poor, near-poor or non-poor). According to guidelines of the Vietnamese Ministry of Labor, War Invalids and Social Affairs, near-poor in rural areas is defined as having an income between VND700-1000 (USD32-46)/month and poor an income <VND700 (USD32)/month (Hoi le, 2015).

Data collection

Data were collected through household interview using a structured questionnaire carried out by trained interviewers and local health collaborators

who are familiar with culture and participants in the study commune and are able conversant with the local language and Vietnamese. Control of data quality was maintained by supervisors and investigators of the study.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize data, expressed as frequency and percentage for categorical variables and mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables. Chi-square tests were employed to detect differences in EQ-5D-5L health state of each factor between gender and age groups. Multivariate tobit regression analysis was carried out to identify factors related to EQ-5D-5L scores and independent variables were included in a multivariable model based on review of the literature. A *p*-value <0.05 is considered significantly different in all statistical tests. All analyses were carried out using Stata v16 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Participants (*n* = 873) had a mean (SD) age of 70 (8), 52.2% in the 60-69 years of age group (45.0% males and 55.0% females), 74.6% with normal BMI (18.5-24.9 kg/m²), 60.5% married, 60.6% with no formal education, 97.3% without health insurance, 67.0% non-religious, 77.6% smokers, 75.9% alcohol drinkers, 72.5% categorized as non-poor, 89.7 and 50.7% with communicable and non-communicable disease respectively, 34.5% from the northern midlands and mountainous region of Vietnam, and 12.5% living in disadvantaged areas of the country (Table 1).

Comparison based on gender of the five EQ-5D-5L health categories, significantly more males were observed

Table 1
General characteristics of ethnic minority elderly participants in Vietnam.

| Characteristic | Total Number (%) (n = 873) | Male Number (%) (n = 357) | Female Number (%) (n = 516) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age in years, mean \pm SD | 70 \pm 8 | 69 \pm 8 | 71 \pm 9 |
| Age group | | | |
| 60-64 | 250 (28.6) | 120 (34) | 130 (25) |
| 65-69 | 206 (23.6) | 86 (24) | 120 (23) |
| 70-74 | 192 (22.1) | 74 (21) | 118 (23) |
| 75-79 | 99 (11.3) | 37 (10) | 62 (12) |
| >80 | 126 (14.4) | 40 (11) | 86 (17) |
| BMI | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²) | 153 (17.5) | 57 (16) | 96 (19) |
| Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²) | 651 (74.6) | 272 (76) | 379 (73) |
| Overweight (>24.9 kg/m ²) | 69 (7.9) | 28 (8) | 41 (8) |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 12 (1.4) | 5 (2) | 7 (1) |
| Married | 528 (60.5) | 287 (80) | 241 (47) |
| Separated / divorced / widowed | 333 (38.1) | 65 (18) | 268 (52) |
| Education | | | |
| None | 529 (60.6) | 185 (52) | 344 (67) |
| Primary school | 200 (22.9) | 86 (24) | 114 (22) |
| Secondary school | 99 (11.3) | 57 (16) | 42 (8) |
| High school or above | 45 (5.2) | 29 (8) | 16 (3) |
| Having health insurance | | | |
| No | 24 (2.7) | 16 (4) | 8 (2) |
| Yes | 849 (97.3) | 341 (96) | 508 (98) |
| Employment status | | | |
| Employed | 571 (65.4) | 249 (70) | 322 (62) |
| Unemployed | 32 (3.7) | 12 (3) | 20 (4) |
| Retired | 150 (17.2) | 62 (17) | 88 (17) |
| Others | 120 (13.7) | 34 (10) | 86 (17) |
| Religious | | | |
| No | 585 (67.0) | 236 (66) | 349 (68) |
| Yes | 288 (33.0) | 121 (34) | 167 (32) |
| With communicable disease | | | |
| No | 775 (89.7) | 320 (90) | 455 (89) |
| Yes | 89 (10.3) | 35 (10) | 54 (11) |
| With non-communicable disease | | | |
| No | 443 (50.7) | 176 (49) | 267 (52) |
| Yes | 430 (49.3) | 181 (51) | 249 (48) |
| Smoker | | | |
| No | 675 (77.6) | 214 (60) | 461 (90) |
| Yes | 195 (22.4) | 141 (40) | 54 (10) |

Table 1 (Continued)

| Characteristic | Total Number (%) (n = 873) | Male Number (%) (n = 357) | Female Number (%) (n = 516) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Alcohol drinker | | | |
| No | 659 (75.9) | 190 (54) | 469 (91) |
| Yes | 209 (24.1) | 164 (46) | 45 (9) |
| Region of residence | | | |
| Northern midlands and mountains | 301 (34.5) | 125 (35) | 176 (34) |
| Central coast | 246 (28.2) | 101 (28) | 145 (28) |
| Central highlands | 154 (17.6) | 66 (19) | 88 (17) |
| Mekong River Delta | 172 (19.7) | 65 (18) | 107 (21) |
| Living in disadvantaged area | | | |
| No | 761 (87.5) | 311 (88) | 450 (87) |
| Yes | 109 (12.5) | 44 (12) | 65 (13) |
| Household economic classification | | | |
| Poor ^a | 140 (16.0) | 63 (18) | 77 (15) |
| Near-poor ^b | 100 (11.5) | 35 (10) | 65 (13) |
| Non-poor ^c | 633 (72.5) | 259 (72) | 374 (72) |

SD: Standard deviation; kg/m²: kilogram per square meter.

^a<VND700 (USD32)/month; ^bVND700-1,000 (USD32-46)/month; ^c>VND1,000 (USD46)/month.

without problems in mobility, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression, although overall EQ-5D-5L score is not significantly different (Table 2); while comparison according to age groups, as expected the younger age group (60-69 years of age) reported no problems in all five categories compared to the older age group (>70 years of age) (Table 3). Of the 3,125 possible health state designations, health states of participants ranged from 11111 (30.0%, most healthy, mean utility score of 1.000) to 21222 (2.0%, least healthy, mean utility score of 0.737) (note that these findings were from 61.7% of participants) (Table 4).

Multivariate tobit analysis of factors related to QoL revealed age lower than mean, absence of non-communicable disease and absence of communicable disease are significant independent factors

of better QoL, as indicated by higher mean health utility score (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The study used data from a self-reporting EQ-5D-5L questionnaire to quantify QoL of minority ethnic elderly in Vietnam showing overall QoL was good [mean (\pm SD) utility score of 0.8 (\pm 0.2)]. The overall mean utility score was higher than that reported earlier in Vietnam, 0.7 for elderly in rural community-dwellings and 0.65 in older adults in rural areas in Soc Son (Hoi le *et al*, 2011; Nguyen *et al*, 2019; Nguyen *et al*, 2018). These differences could be attributed to study populations and value sets employed, the current study being the first to adopt the Vietnamese value set validated in year 2020 (Mai *et al*, 2020). The relatively higher QoL indices among ethnic minority

Table 2
 Frequency of responses to items in EQ-5D-5L questionnaire categories according to gender of ethnic minority elderly participants in Vietnam.

| Characteristic | Total Number (%) (n = 873) | Male Number (%) (n = 357) | Female Number (%) (n = 516) | p-value* |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Mobility | | | | <0.001 |
| No problem in walking | 468 (53.6) | 223 (63) | 245 (47) | |
| Slight problem in walking | 275 (31.5) | 83 (23) | 192 (37) | |
| Moderate problem in walking | 78 (8.9) | 29 (8) | 49 (10) | |
| Severe problem in walking | 37 (4.3) | 14 (4) | 23 (5) | |
| Unable to walk | 15 (1.7) | 8 (2) | 7 (1) | |
| Self-care | | | | 0.130 |
| No problem washing or dressing | 647 (74.1) | 274 (77) | 373 (72) | |
| Slight problem washing or dressing | 160 (18.4) | 58 (16) | 102 (20) | |
| Moderate problem washing or dressing | 30 (3.4) | 7 (2) | 23 (5) | |
| Severe problem washing or dressing | 21 (2.4) | 10 (3) | 11 (2) | |
| Unable to wash or dress | 15 (1.7) | 8 (2) | 7 (1) | |
| Normal activities | | | | 0.520 |
| No problem doing normal activities | 550 (63.0) | 234 (66) | 316 (61) | |
| Slight problem doing normal activities | 230 (26.4) | 90 (25) | 140 (27) | |
| Moderate problem doing normal activities | 57 (6.5) | 18 (5) | 39 (8) | |
| Severe problem doing normal activities | 21 (2.4) | 8 (2) | 13 (2) | |
| Unable to do normal activities | 15 (1.7) | 7 (2) | 8 (2) | |
| Pain/discomfort | | | | 0.043 |
| No pain/discomfort | 366 (41.9) | 169 (47) | 197 (38) | |
| Slight pain/discomfort | 349 (40.0) | 131 (37) | 218 (42) | |
| Moderate pain/discomfort | 108 (12.4) | 35 (10) | 73 (14) | |
| Severe pain/discomfort | 41 (4.7) | 17 (5) | 24 (5) | |
| Extreme pain/discomfort | 9 (1.0) | 5 (1) | 4 (1) | |
| Anxiety/depression | | | | 0.029 |
| Not anxious/depressed | 507 (58.1) | 227 (63) | 280 (54) | |
| Slightly anxious/depressed | 256 (29.3) | 87 (24) | 169 (33) | |
| Moderately anxious/depressed | 69 (7.9) | 24 (7) | 45 (9) | |
| Severely anxious/depressed | 31 (3.6) | 13 (4) | 18 (3) | |
| Extremely anxious/depressed | 10 (1.1) | 6 (2) | 4 (1) | |
| EQ-5D-5L score, mean \pm SD | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.140 |

*Significant at $p < 0.050$; SD: standard deviation.

Table 3
 Frequency of responses to items in EQ-5D-5L questionnaire categories according to age group of ethnic minority elderly participants in Vietnam.

| Category | Age in years | | | | p-value* | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | 60-64 Number (%) (n = 250) | 65-69 Number (%) (n = 206) | 70-74 Number (%) (n = 192) | 75-79 Number (%) (n = 99) | | 80-84 Number (%) (n = 126) |
| Mobility | | | | | | |
| No problem in walking | 171 (68) | 128 (62) | 88 (46) | 44 (44) | 37 (29) | <0.001 |
| Slight problem in walking | 63 (25) | 61 (30) | 70 (36) | 35 (35) | 46 (37) | |
| Moderate problem in walking | 9 (4) | 8 (4) | 22 (11) | 15 (15) | 24 (19) | |
| Severe problem in walking | 6 (2) | 7 (3) | 7 (4) | 4 (5) | 13 (10) | |
| Unable to walk | 1 (1) | 2 (1) | 5 (3) | 1 (1) | 6 (5) | |
| Self-care | | | | | | |
| No problem washing or dressing | 211 (84) | 167 (81) | 137 (71) | 64 (65) | 68 (54) | <0.001 |
| Slight problem washing or dressing | 32 (13) | 31 (15) | 36 (19) | 25 (25) | 36 (29) | |
| Moderate problem washing or dressing | 4 (1) | 2 (1) | 9 (5) | 7 (7) | 8 (6) | |
| Severe problem washing or dressing | 2 (1) | 4 (2) | 4 (2) | 2 (2) | 9 (7) | |
| Unable to wash or dress | 1 (1) | 2 (1) | 6 (3) | 1 (1) | 5 (4) | |
| Normal activities | | | | | | |
| No problem doing normal activities | 193 (77) | 145 (70) | 113 (59) | 52 (52) | 47 (37) | <0.001 |
| Slight problem doing normal activities | 50 (20) | 44 (21) | 54 (28) | 30 (30) | 52 (41) | |
| Moderate problem doing normal activities | 5 (1) | 10 (5) | 18 (9) | 12 (13) | 12 (10) | |
| Severe problem doing normal activities | 1 (1) | 4 (2) | 3 (2) | 4 (4) | 9 (7) | |
| Unable to do normal activities | 1 (1) | 3 (2) | 4 (2) | 1 (1) | 6 (5) | |
| Pain/discomfort | | | | | | |
| No pain/discomfort | 138 (55) | 96 (47) | 67 (35) | 29 (29) | 36 (29) | <0.001 |
| Slight pain/discomfort | 90 (36) | 79 (38) | 87 (45) | 47 (47) | 46 (36) | |
| Moderate pain/discomfort | 17 (7) | 22 (11) | 26 (13) | 18 (19) | 25 (20) | |
| Severe pain/discomfort | 4 (1) | 6 (3) | 10 (6) | 5 (5) | 16 (13) | |
| Extreme pain/discomfort | 1 (1) | 3 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) | 3 (2) | |

Table 3 (Continued)

| Category | Age in years | | | | p-value* | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| | 60-64 Number (%) (n = 250) | 65-69 Number (%) (n = 206) | 70-74 Number (%) (n = 192) | 75-79 Number (%) (n = 99) | | 80-84 Number (%) (n = 126) |
| Anxiety/depression | | | | | | |
| Not anxious/depressed | 162 (65) | 128 (62) | 114 (59) | 47 (47) | 56 (44) | |
| Slightly anxious/depressed | 69 (28) | 55 (27) | 50 (26) | 36 (36) | 46 (37) | |
| Moderately anxious/depressed | 13 (5) | 14 (7) | 16 (8) | 13 (14) | 13 (10) | |
| Severely anxious/depressed | 6 (2) | 6 (3) | 9 (5) | 3 (3) | 7 (6) | |
| Extremely anxious/depressed | 0 (0.0) | 3 (1) | 3 (2) | 0 (0) | 4 (3) | |
| EQ-5D-5L score, mean \pm SD | 0.9 \pm (0.2) | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.7 \pm 0.3 | <0.001 |

*Significant at $p < 0.050$ using Chi-squared test; SD: standard deviation.

elderly compared to the general elderly population in the country might suggest a healthier aging in the setting of an ethnic rural community.

Percent elderly in the current study having no problems in all five EQ-5D-5L health categories (designated 11111) was similar to that reported in a South Australian community-based population (29.6%) (McCaffrey *et al*, 2016), but was lower compared to that in UK (39.0% of elderly ≥ 65 years of age) (Feng *et al*, 2015). Again, these differences among the studies probably reflect variations in study populations (especially for data from different countries) value sets used.

EQ-5D-5L scores in the present study were significantly higher in elderly male than female participants. Other studies on senior populations in different parts of Vietnam also reported elderly males tend to have significantly less problems in the five categories compared to elderly females (Nguyen *et al*, 2018; Pham *et al*, 2018; Van Nguyen *et al*, 2017). Apidechkul (2011) noted in rural and suburban regions of Thailand more elderly women (66.2%) compared to men (50.0%) suffering from at least one of the five EQ-5D-5L categories; similar results were observed among the elderly in Myanmar, where 21.8 and 13.5% of elderly females and males respectively have health problems identified by an EQ-5D-5L survey (Naing *et al*, 2010). Similarly, in developed countries elderly males have a better QoL than females (Bang *et al*, 2017; Nguyen *et al*, 2018; Pham *et al*, 2018; Van Nguyen *et al*, 2017). Factors responsible for the difference are not well understood, but might be related to the effects of menopause in females.

Consistent with findings from other countries (Baernholdt *et al*, 2012; Hoi le *et al*, 2011; Khaje-Bishak *et al*, 2014),

Table 4
Most frequently reported EQ-5D-5L health state and mean utility score of ethnic minority elderly participants in Vietnam.

| Health state* | Number (%) (n = 873) | Mean single index utility score |
|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 11111 | 262 (30.0) | 1.000 |
| 11121 | 56 (6.4) | 0.916 |
| 11122 | 47 (5.4) | 0.852 |
| 22222 | 40 (4.6) | 0.694 |
| 21121 | 33 (3.8) | 0.847 |
| 11112 | 27 (3.1) | 0.936 |
| 21122 | 26 (3.0) | 0.783 |
| 21111 | 19 (2.2) | 0.931 |
| 22221 | 19 (2.2) | 0.758 |
| 21222 | 17 (2.0) | 0.737 |

*The health state was reported using five digits represented in order five categories including mobility (1st digit), self-care (2nd digit), normal activities (3rd digit), pain/discomfort (4th digit), and anxiety/depression (5th digit). Each digit specifies the level of response; "1" = no, "2" = slight, "3" = moderate, "4" = severe, and "5" = extreme problem. The health state was converted into a single index 'utility' score using a scoring algorithm ranging from "0" to "1", where "0" is defined as a state equivalent to "death" and "1" to "full health" using the time trade-off method (Dolan, 1997).

Table 5
Multivariate tobit regression analysis of socio-demographic characteristics and EQ-5D-5L scores group of ethnic minority elderly participants in Vietnam.

| Characteristic | EQ-5D-5L score Mean \pm SD | β | 95% CI | p-value* |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
| All ages (60->80 years old) | | Reference | | |
| Mean age (70 years old) | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.011 | -0.013 to 0.008 | <0.001 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Female | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.003 | -0.046 to 0.052 | 0.912 |
| BMI | | | | |
| Underweight | 0.7 \pm 0.3 | Reference | | |
| Normal | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.047 | -0.005 to 0.099 | 0.077 |
| Overweight | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.038 | -0.049 to 0.125 | 0.391 |
| Marital status | | | | |
| Single | 0.7 \pm 0.3 | Reference | | |
| Married | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.103 | -0.063 to 0.269 | 0.224 |
| Separated/divorced/widowed | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.109 | -0.057 to 0.276 | 0.199 |
| Education | | | | |
| None | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Primary school | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.029 | -0.078 to 0.020 | 0.252 |
| Secondary school | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.019 | -0.047 to 0.086 | 0.565 |
| High school or above | 0.9 \pm 0.1 | 0.094 | -0.004 to 0.192 | 0.061 |

Table 5 (Continued)

| Characteristic | EQ-5D-5L score Mean \pm SD | β | 95% CI | <i>p</i> -value* |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| With health insurance | | | | |
| No | 0.9 \pm 0.1 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.077 | -0.200 to 0.047 | 0.225 |
| Employment status | | | | |
| Employed | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Unemployed | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.002 | -0.108 to 0.112 | 0.970 |
| Retired | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.003 | -0.053 to 0.059 | 0.924 |
| Others | 0.8 \pm 0.3 | 0.030 | -0.033 to 0.092 | 0.351 |
| Religious | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.013 | -0.033 to 0.058 | 0.582 |
| With communicable disease | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.7 \pm 0.2 | -0.098 | -0.162 to -0.035 | 0.002 |
| With non-communicable disease | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.092 | -0.134 to -0.051 | <0.001 |
| Smoking | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.010 | -0.043 to 0.064 | 0.702 |
| Drinking alcohol | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | 0.055 | -0.002 to 0.111 | 0.058 |
| Living in disadvantaged area | | | | |
| No | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 0.8 \pm 0.3 | -0.036 | -0.102 to 0.030 | 0.284 |
| Household economic classification | | | | |
| Poor | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | Reference | | |
| Near poor | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.001 | -0.078 to 0.077 | 0.990 |
| Non-poor | 0.8 \pm 0.2 | -0.012 | -0.070 to 0.047 | 0.696 |

Significant at $p < 0.050$ compared to Reference; CI: confidence interval; β : Beta coefficient; SD: standard deviation.

multivariate regression analysis identified age lower than mean and absence of non- and communicable diseases were independent factors significantly associated with better QoL indices. Aging is often accompanied by impaired health, decreased social engagement and increased propensity to ailments. In Vietnam, Vu *et al* (2019) reported >80%

of participants hospitalized from fall have at least one problem among EQ-5D-5L categories, and Khaje-Bishak *et al* (2014) in Iran observed a significant reduction in total QoL score in patients with cardiovascular, respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases, and with hearing and visual impairments. Impaired QoL in all categories is frequently found

associated with acquiring diseases (Megari, 2013).

The weakness in the study was that its cross-sectional design, precluding causal ordering to a certain extent, and thus, the relationship between predictors and QoL need to be interpreted carefully.

In conclusion, the notably high quality of life index found among minority ethnic elderly living in remote regions of Vietnam should be of interest to public health policy, necessitating future studies in identifying the underlying factors so that lessons could be learnt regarding differences in quality of life in the elderly populations between remote, rural and urban regions of the country so that the best public health intervention measures can be implemented to ensure a good quality of life for all the elderly in Vietnam.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was supported by the Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs of Vietnam.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. *Soc Sci Med* 1995; 41: 1403-9.
- Apidechkul T. Comparison of quality of life and mental health among elderly people in rural and suburban areas, Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2011; 42: 1282-92.
- Baernholdt M, Hinton I, Yan G, Rose K, Mattos M. Factors associated with quality of life in older adults in the United States. *Qual Life Res* 2012; 21: 527-34.
- Bandiera FC, Pereira DB, Arif AA, Dodge B, Asal N. Race/ethnicity, income, chronic asthma, and mental health: a cross-sectional study using the behavioral risk factor surveillance system. *Psychosom Med* 2008; 70: 77-84.
- Bang KS, Tak SH, Oh J, Yi J, Yu SY, Trung TQ. Health status and the demand for healthcare among the elderly in the rural Quoc-Oai District of Hanoi in Vietnam. *Biomed Res Int* 2017; 2017: 4830968.
- Baudon E, Chu DKW, Tung DD, et al. Swine influenza viruses in Northern Vietnam in 2013-2014. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2018; 7: 123.
- Chan AM, von Muhlen D, Kritz-Silverstein D, Barrett-Connor E. Regular alcohol consumption is associated with increasing quality of life and mood in older men and women: the Rancho Bernardo Study. *Maturitas* 2009; 62: 294-300.
- Dolan P. Modeling valuations for EuroQol Health states. *Med Care* 1997; 35: 1096-108.
- EuroQoL. EQ-5D-5L, 2017 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://euroqol.org/eq-5d-instruments/eq-5d-5l-about/>
- Fajemilehin BR, Odebiyi AI. Predictors of elderly persons' quality of life and health practices in Nigeria. *Int J Sociol Anthropol* 2011; 3: 245-52.
- Feng Y, Devlin N, Herdman M. Assessing the health of the general population in England: how do the three- and five-level versions of EQ-5D compare? *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2015; 13: 171.
- General Statistics Office of Vietnam. Statistical Yearbook of Vietnam 2019, 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://www.gso.gov.vn/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Nien-giam-thong-ke-day-du-2019.pdf> [in Vietnamese]
- HelpAge International. Ageing population in Vietnam, 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://ageingasia.org/ageing-population-vietnam/>

- Hoi le V, Thang P, Lindholm L. Elderly care in daily living in rural Vietnam: need and its socioeconomic determinants. *BMC Geriatr* 2011; 11: 81.
- Khaje-Bishak Y, Payahoo L, Pourghasem B, Asghari Jafarabadi M. Assessing the quality of life in elderly people and related factors in Tabriz, Iran. *J Caring Sci* 2014; 3: 257-63.
- Mai VQ, Sun S, Minh HV, et al. An EQ-5D-5L value set for Vietnam. *Qual Life Res* 2020; 29: 1923-33.
- McCaffrey N, Kaambwa B, Currow DC, Ratcliffe J. Health-related quality of life measured using the EQ-5D-5L: South Australian population norms. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016; 14: 133.
- Megari K. Quality of life in chronic disease patients. *Health Psychol Res* 2013; 1: e27.
- Mudey A, Ambekar S, Goyal RC, Agarekar S, Wagh VV. Assessment of quality of life among rural and urban elderly population of Wardha District, Maharashtra, India. *Stud Ethno-Med* 2011; 5: 89-93.
- Naing MM, Nanthamongkolchai S, Munsawaengsub C. Quality of life of the elderly people in Einme Township Irrawaddy Division, Myanmar. *Asia J Public Health* 2010; 1: 4-10.
- Nguyen AT, Nguyen LH, Nguyen TX, et al. Frailty prevalence and association with health-related quality of life impairment among rural community-dwelling older adults in Vietnam. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; 16: 3869.
- Nguyen HTT, Moir MP, Nguyen TX, et al. Health-related quality of life in elderly diabetic outpatients in Vietnam. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2018; 12: 1347-54.
- Nguyen L, Nguyen TH. Introducing health information systems to aged care in Vietnam, 2010 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228768230_Introducing_health_information_systems_to_aged_care_in_Vietnam
- Nguyen TT, Hoang MV. Non-communicable diseases, food and nutrition in Vietnam from 1975 to 2015: the burden and national response. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2018; 27: 19-28.
- Nilsson J, Rana AK, Luong DH, Winblad B, Kabir ZN. Health-related quality of life in old age: a comparison between rural areas in Bangladesh and Vietnam. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2012; 24: 610-9.
- Pham T, Nguyen NTT, ChieuTo SB, et al. Sex differences in quality of life and health services utilization among elderly people in rural Vietnam. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018; 16: 69.
- Puschmann P, Solli A. Household and family during urbanization and industrialization: efforts to shed new light on an old debate, 2014 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1081602X.2013.871570?scroll=top&needAccess=true>
- Scocco P, Fantoni G, Caon F. Role of depressive and cognitive status in self-reported evaluation of quality of life in older people: comparing proxy and physician perspectives. *Age Ageing* 2006; 35: 166-71.
- Spencer JH, Finucane ML, Fox JM, Saksena S, Sultana N. Emerging infectious disease, the household built environment characteristics, and urban planning: evidence on avian influenza in Vietnam. *Landsc Urban Plan* 2020; 193: 103681.
- Srivastava K. Urbanization and mental health. *Ind Psychiatry J* 2009; 18: 75-6.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA). World population prospects: the 2010 revision, 2011 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/publications/world-population-prospects-the-2010-revision.html>
- United Nations Population Fund (UN FPA). Aging population in Vietnam: current status, prognosis, and possible policy responses, 2011 [cited 2020 Jun 29].

- Available from: URL: https://vietnam.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/Ageing%20report_ENG_FINAL_27.07.pdf
- Van Minh H, Long KQ, Ha NT. Self-reported non-communicable diseases and associated socio-demographic status among ethnic minority populations in Vietnam, 2019. *Health Psychol Open* 2020; 7: 2055102920954707.
- Van Nguyen T, Van Nguyen H, Duc Nguyen T, Van Nguyen T, The Nguyen T. Difference in quality of life and associated factors among the elderly in rural Vietnam. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2017; 58: E63-71.
- Vogl M, Wenig CM, Leidl R, Pokhrel S. Smoking and health-related quality of life in English general population: implications for economic evaluations. *BMC Public Health*, 2012; 12: 203.
- Vu HM, Nguyen LH, Tran TH, *et al.* Effects of chronic comorbidities on the health-related quality of life among older patients after falls in Vietnamese hospitals. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; 16: 3623.
- WorldAtlas. Countries with the largest aging population in the world, 2017 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/countries-with-the-largest-aging-population-in-the-world.html>
- WorldAtlas. Largest ethnic groups in Vietnam, 2019 [cited 2020 Jun 29]. Available from: URL: <https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/largest-ethnic-groups-in-vietnam.html>
- Zahran HS, Kobau R, Moriarty DG, *et al.* Health-related quality of life surveillance-United States, 1993-2002. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 2005; 54: 1-35.
- Zaninotto P, Falaschetti E, Sacker A. Age trajectories of quality of life among older adults: results from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Qual Life Res* 2009; 18: 1301-9.