

PROPERTIES AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF *BACILLUS VELEZENSIS* BUU004 AS PROBIOTIC AND BIOPRESERVATIVE IN SEAFOOD PRODUCTS

Namphueng Butkhot¹, Pornpimon Soodsawaeng², Traimat Boonthai²,
Verapong Vuthiphandchai³ and Subuntith Nimrat^{1,4}

¹Environmental Science Program, ²Biological Science Program, ³Department of Aquatic Science, ⁴Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chon Buri, Thailand

Abstract. *Bacillus* probiotics have been proposed for inhibition of several foodborne pathogens, which cause considerable public health burden and pose a challenge to control measures. Selection of probiotics requires close scrutiny in terms of biosafety and probiotic characteristics prior to incorporation into human food products. *Bacillus velezensis* BUU004, previously used as an aquaculture probiotic, showed strong antagonistic effect on seafood spoilage bacteria, namely, *Aeromonas schubertii*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum*, *Staphylococcus hominis*, *Staph. mucilaginosus*, *Staph. saprophyticus*, and *Staph. warneri*, and on foodborne pathogens, *Bacillus cereus* and *Staph. aureus*. *B. velezensis* BUU004 was susceptible to several classes of antibiotics used in human and veterinary clinical therapy, was α -hemolytic with absence of hemolysin genes: *hlyI/clo*, *hlyII* and *hlyIII* homolog, did not carry enterotoxin genes: *cytK*, *hblACD* and *nheABC*, harbored genes encoding proteins associated with cell adhesion activity, namely, fibronectin-binding, collagen-binding surface and Gram-positive anchor proteins, and exhibited robust survivability under gastric and bile conditions. In conclusion, *B. velezensis* BUU004 was non-pathogenic with the characteristics of a potential probiotic safe for use as a source of biopreservative to prevent foodborne infections of seafood products.

Keywords: *Bacillus velezensis* BUU004, antibacterial activity, biopreservative, probiotic, safety, seafood

INTRODUCTION

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms conferring beneficial health effects on host when administered in adequate amounts (FAO/WHO, 2006). Potential benefits of probiotics are the

prevention of gastrointestinal disorders, enhancement of gut immunity, reduction in anti-allergy effects, and decrease in serum cholesterol level (Guo *et al*, 2010; Lefevre *et al*, 2017). An increase in foodborne antibiotic-resistant pathogens has prompted a search for alternate therapeutic agents (Achi and Halami, 2016; Elshagabee *et al*, 2017). Probiotics provide an attractive viable solution owing to their efficacy, cost-effectiveness, non-invasive application, long history of safe use, and promotion of gut natural

Correspondence: Subuntith Nimrat, Department of Microbiology and Environmental Science Program, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chon Buri 20131, Thailand.
Tel: +66 (0) 38 103120; Fax: +66 (0) 38 393490
E-mail: subunti@buu.ac.th; subunttbt@gmail.com

flora (Elshaghabe *et al*, 2017). To date, probiotics, mainly Gram-positive bacteria belonging to genera *Bifidobacterium*, *Enterococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), have been marketed as novel food products, dietary supplements and therapy for several intestinal infectious diseases in humans (Saarela *et al*, 2000; Elshaghabe *et al*, 2017; Lefevre *et al*, 2017).

Among probiotics, spore-forming *Bacillus* spp have gained large interest due to their several advantages over other non-spore formers, *eg* better stability under harsh conditions during food processing, higher survival in gastrointestinal tract conditions, long shelf-life, higher viability at ambient temperature and refrigerated conditions, and low effective dose required for use as food supplement (Cutting, 2011; Nithya and Halami, 2013). Probiotic preparations containing *Bacillus* strains have been reported to prevent gastrointestinal illnesses, such as irritable bowel syndrome (Tompkins *et al*, 2009), reduce pathogenic *Helicobacter pylori* infection (Lesbros-Pantoflickova *et al*, 2007), and promote intestinal and immunological health (Elshaghabe *et al*, 2017). Among more than 100 species known, *Bacillus* spp included in *B. subtilis* complex, *eg* *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. atrophaeus*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. methylotrophicus*, *B. mojavensis*, *B. siamensis*, *B. subtilis*, *B. tequilensis*, and *B. vallismortis*, are considered as generally regarded as safe (GRAS) for human consumption (Lefevre *et al*, 2017; Chen *et al*, 2018). However, if *Bacillus* spp, such as *B. cereus* and its relatives, harbor transmissible antibiotic resistance determinants and/or virulent factors, they can pose serious health risks (Chon *et al*, 2012). In addition, a small number of species within *B. subtilis*

group have occasionally been reported to be causative agents of food poisoning (European Food Safety Authority, 2005). Thus, it deems necessary to evaluate the biosafety features of potential probiotics on a strain-by-strain basis.

A recent study on bacteriological quality of dried seafood products sold in Chon Buri Province, Thailand, revealed nearly half of the samples contained viable bacteria above allowable limits (Nimrat *et al*, 2019). Pathogenic bacteria, such as *B. cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* spp, have been isolated from dried seafood products in Thailand (Thungkao and Muangharm, 2008; Butkhot *et al*, 2019), indicating a need for intervention measures to control bacterial contamination and reduce a potential hazard of foodborne infection. As several *Bacillus* spp synthesize a wide range of antimicrobial agents, *eg* bacteriocins, these bacteria species could be selected as sources of biopreservatives in food products (AlGhuri *et al*, 2016). However, any potential candidate *Bacillus* spp are required to undergo a rigorous assessment of their possible toxigenic and probiotic properties to ensure safe use in humans.

Hence, the study aimed to assess antibacterial activity against seafood spoilage and pathogenic bacteria of five *Bacillus* isolates previously used as probiotics in shrimp marine culture (Nimrat *et al*, 2012) and ascertain virulent characteristics and probiotic attributes of a candidate *Bacillus* isolate with potential for future application in dried seafood industry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria and culture conditions

Five *Bacillus* spp, namely, *B. megaterium* BUU002, *B. polymyxa* BUU003, *B. subtilis*

BUU005, *B. thuringiensis* BUU001, and *B. velezensis* BUU004, previously isolated from black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* and shrimp pond sediment, were selected due to their beneficial effects on black tiger and whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* and their antagonistic properties against shrimp pathogenic *Vibrio* spp and *Pseudomonas* spp (Nimrat *et al*, 2008; Nimrat *et al*, 2012). Each *Bacillus* strain was grown in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) (Becton BD, Sparks, MD, USA) at 30°C for 24 hours with shaking, sedimented at 8,000g at 4°C for 10 minutes, and filtered through a 0.45 µm filter (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) and then, cell-free supernatants (CFSs) were stored at -20°C until used.

Spoilage and pathogenic bacteria strains isolated from dried seafood products used for evaluation of antibacterial activity of the five *Bacillus* spp were obtained from the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, and cultured in TSB (Becton BD) at 35°C for 24 hours.

Bacteriostatic activity assay

Bacteriostatic activity of CFS from *Bacillus* BUU001, BUU002, BUU003, BUU004 and BUU005 strains was analyzed using an agar well diffusion method (Yilmaz *et al*, 2006). In brief, a 24-hour culture of each spoilage and pathogenic bacteria strain was adjusted to 10⁶ CFU/ml and then swabbed onto Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) (Difco BD, Sparks, MD, USA). Then, 50-µl aliquot of CFS was added into a well of 6 mm in diameter bored into the MHA (Difco BD) plate. All petri dishes were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours and the diameter of a clear zone around well was recorded. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate.

Antibiogram profiling

Antibiogram profile of each *Bacillus* strain was conducted using a Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute protocols (CLSI, 2016). Cell suspension of each strain was adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard unit (equivalent to 10⁸ CFU/ml) and seeded onto MHA (Difco BD) plate. Antibiotic-impregnated disks (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) were placed on the seeded plate and diameter of inhibition zones was recorded following incubation at 37°C for 20 hours. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Blood hemolysis assay and hemolysin gene detection

B. velezensis BUU004 was streaked onto Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) (Difco BD) plate containing 5% human blood, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and examined to check for pattern of hemolytic activity: complete hemolysis (β-hemolysis), partial hemolysis (α-hemolysis) and no change (γ-hemolysis). Presence of hemolysin (*hlyI/clo*, *hlyII* and *hlyIII* homologs) and surfactin lipopeptide (*urfA*) genes were detected by examination of genome sequence of *B. velezensis* BUU004 (GenBank accession no. SJCZ01000000) (<http://www.mgc.ac.cn/VFs/>) (Liu *et al*, 2018) using antiSMASH v.5.0 software (Blin *et al*, 2017).

Detection of enterotoxin genes

B. velezensis BUU004 enterotoxin genes [*cytK*, *nhe* (A, B, C) and *hbl* (A, C, D)] were detected by PCR (Chon *et al*, 2012). In short, a loopful of bacteria was inoculated in TSB (Becton BD), incubated overnight at 30°C, and centrifuged at 10,000g for one minute at 4°C. DNA was extracted from the cell pellet using a DNA extraction kit (Bio-Helix, Keelung City, Taiwan). Reaction mixture (25 µl)

contained 100 ng of DNA, 2.5 µl of 10X PCR buffer (Vivantis, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia), 2.5 µl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 2.5 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol/µl), 1 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (5 U/µl) (Vivantis), and 14 µl of sterile nuclease-free water. Thermocycling was performed in a Mastercycler nexus (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) as follows: 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute, annealing temperature for 1 minute and 72°C for 2 minutes; and a final step of 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons were visualized using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis containing ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/ml) and recorded under UV transillumination. *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 DNA was used as positive control. PCR primers, amplicon size and annealing temperatures used in detecting enterotoxin genes are summarized in Table 1.

Detection of genes encoding proteins related to adhesion

The annotated genome sequence of *B. velezensis* BUU004 described above were examined for *pp1-Y1* (encoding mucin-binding protein), *fbpA* (encoding fibronectin-binding protein), *cna* (encoding collagen-binding surface protein), *fha* (encoding filamentous adhesin), and *srtA* (encoding Gram-positive anchor LPXTG sortase) using an online HMMER webserver (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmscan>) (Finn *et al*, 2011).

Acid and bile-salt tolerance

Tolerance of *B. velezensis* BUU004 to gastric acid and bile salts was evaluated as previously described by Jeon *et al* (2016). In brief, one-ml aliquot of a 24-hour culture suspension was diluted 1:10 in artificial gastric juice (0.3% (w/v) pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) in TSB pH

2.5) and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours with shaking. Tolerance to bile salts was assessed by inoculation of one-ml aliquot of cell culture in 9 ml of TSB containing 0.3% (w/v) oxgall (Sigma-Aldrich), and incubation at 37°C for 24 hours with shaking. Afterwards, viable *B. velezensis* BUU004 cells were counted using a spread plating method and presented as percent viable cells.

Data analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate and data were expressed as mean ± SD.

RESULTS

Bacteriostatic activity of CFS from the tested *Bacillus* spp

Seafood spoilage and pathogenic bacteria ($n = 20$) were tested for their susceptibilities to CFS from the five tested *Bacillus* spp, namely, *B. megaterium* BUU002, *B. polymyxa* BUU003, *B. subtilis* BUU005, *B. thuringiensis* BUU001, and *B. velezensis* BUU004. All seafood spoilage and pathogenic bacteria were susceptible to *B. velezensis* BUU004 CFS with inhibition zones ranging from 7.2 ± 0.1 to 17.3 ± 0.6 mm. The most susceptible strains were *B. cereus* 001 and *Staph. saprophyticus* (Table 2). *B. subtilis* BUU005 CFS was able to inhibit only *B. cereus* 001 and *B. cereus* 004, while the other three *Bacillus* CFSs did not express antibacterial activity against the 20 spoilage and pathogenic bacteria. Hence, *B. velezensis* BUU004 was selected for subsequent experiments.

Antibiogram profile

B. velezensis BUU004 exhibited susceptibility to 14/16 antibiotics tested, with only intermediate resistance to the polypeptide family of antibiotics: bacitracin and polymyxin B (Table 3).

Table 1
 PCR primers used in detection of enterotoxin genes associated with gastrointestinal ailments.

| Enterotoxin | Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Amplicon size (bp) | Annealing temperature (°C) | Reference |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Hemolytic enterotoxin | <i>hblA</i> -F | AAGCAATGGAATACAATGGG | 1,154 | 56 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>hblA</i> -R | AGAACTCTAAATCAATGCCACTGC | | | |
| | <i>hblC</i> -F | GATACTCAATGTGGCAACTGC | 740 | 58 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>hblC</i> -R | TTGAGACTGCTCGTCTAGTTG | | | |
| | <i>hblD</i> -F | ACCGGTAAACACTATTCATGC | 829 | 58 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>hblD</i> -R | GAGTCCATATGCTTAGATGC | | | |
| | <i>nheA</i> -F | GTTAGGATCACAAATCACCCG | 755 | 56 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>nheA</i> -R | ACGAAATGTAATTTGAGTCGC | | | |
| | <i>nheB</i> -F | TTTAGTAGTGGATCTGTAGC | 743 | 54 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>nheB</i> -R | TTAATGTTCGTTAATCCTGC | | | |
| Non-hemolytic enterotoxin | <i>nheC</i> -F | TGGATTCCAAGATGTAACG | 683 | 58 | Guinebrerière <i>et al</i> (2002) |
| | <i>nheC</i> -R | ATTACGACTTCTGCTTGTC | | | |
| Enterotoxin- T | <i>CytK</i> -R | GAATACTAAATAATGGTTTCC | 505 | 48 | Stenfors and Granum (2001) |
| | <i>CytK</i> -F | GTAACTTTCATTTGATGATCC | | | |

Table 2
Bacteriostatic activity against dried seafood spoilage and pathogenic bacteria tested using *Bacillus* spp cell-free supernatant.

| Spoilage and pathogenic bacteria | Inhibition zone (mm) (mean \pm SD) ^{a,b} | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | <i>Bacillus velezensis</i> BUU004 | <i>B. subtilis</i> BUU005 |
| <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> | 15.6 \pm 0.4 | - |
| <i>Staph. warneri</i> | 11.0 \pm 0.2 | - |
| <i>Staph. mucilaginosus</i> | 8.0 \pm 0.0 | - |
| <i>Staph. saprophyticus</i> | 17.3 \pm 0.6 | - |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> | 7.1 \pm 0.1 | - |
| <i>Aeromonas schubertii</i> | 7.2 \pm 0.0 | - |
| <i>Sphingobacterium spiritivorum</i> | 14.9 \pm 3.6 | - |
| <i>B. cereus</i> 001 | 17.1 \pm 0.9 | 9.3 \pm 0.14 |
| <i>B. cereus</i> 002 | 14.2 \pm 0.7 | - |
| <i>B. cereus</i> 003 | 16.0 \pm 0.5 | - |
| <i>B. cereus</i> 004 | 8.7 \pm 0.78 | 8.3 \pm 1.1 |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> D 4 | 9.2 \pm 0.3 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> H 8 | 9.2 \pm 0.2 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> L12 | 10.8 \pm 0.14 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> O15 | 10.6 \pm 0.1 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> P16 | 12.1 \pm 0.1 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> R18 | 8.92 \pm 0.14 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> S19 | 11.7 \pm 0.4 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> BB28 | 11.2 \pm 0.2 | - |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> DD30 | 12.4 \pm 0.3 | - |

^aAgar well diffusion method; ^bNo bacteriostatic activity from *B. megaterium* BUU002, *B. polymyxa* BUU003 and *B. thuringiensis* BUU001 was observed after cell-free supernatants.

***B. velezensis* BUU004 hemolytic activity and carriage of hemolysis-related genes**

Based on the observation of partial hemolysis on blood agar plate, *B. velezensis* BUU004 was identified as an α -hemolytic strain (data not shown). Examination of the annotated whole genome (GenBank accession no. SJCZ010000000) showed that *B. velezensis* BUU004 was devoid of hemolysin genes: *hlyI/clo*, *hlyII* and

hlyIII homologs, but contained *urfA* (GenBank accession no. TCJ49361.1), encoding surfactin non-ribosomal peptide synthetase, responsible for surfactin-lipopeptide production.

***B. velezensis* BUU004 enterotoxin genes associated with gastrointestinal diseases**

PCR amplification using a set of enterotoxin gene-specific primers demonstrated the absence of enterotoxin

Table 3
Antibiogram profile of *Bacillus velezensis* BUU004.

| Class of antibiotics | Antibiotic | Zone of inhibition (mm) (mean \pm SD) ^a | Interpretation ^b |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| B-lactam | Penicillin (10 U) | 32.5 \pm 0.5 | S |
| | Ampicillin (10 μ g) | 26.7 \pm 0.6 | S |
| | Cephalothin (30 μ g) | 45.0 \pm 1.0 | S |
| | Cefotaxime (30 μ g) | 25.8 \pm 0.8 | S |
| | Cefoxitin (30 μ g) | 28.0 \pm 0.0 | S |
| | Imipenem (10 μ g) | 44.7 \pm 0.6 | S |
| Glycopeptide | Vancomycin (30 μ g) | 23.0 \pm 0.0 | S |
| Polypeptide | Bacitracin (10 μ g) | 10.8 \pm 0.8 | I |
| | Polymyxin B (300 U) | 11.0 \pm 0.0 | I |
| Aminoglycoside | Gentamicin (10 μ g) | 25.6 \pm 0.8 | S |
| | Kanamycin (30 μ g) | 29.0 \pm 1.0 | S |
| Fluoroquinolone | Ciprofloxacin (30 μ g) | 42.3 \pm 0.3 | S |
| Aminocoumarin | Novobiocin (30 μ g) | 23.8 \pm 0.8 | S |
| Tetracycline | Tetracycline (30 μ g) | 20.7 \pm 0.6 | S |
| Phenicol | Chloramphenicol (30 μ g) | 26.0 \pm 1.0 | S |
| Folate pathway antagonist | Trimethoprim (1.25 μ g)/ | 34.5 \pm 1.5 | S |
| | Sulfamethoxazole (23.75 μ g) | | |

^aDisk diffusion assay; ^bCLSI (2016); I, intermediate resistance; S, susceptible.

genes in *B. velezensis* BUU004 including hemolytic enterotoxin (*hblA*, *hblC*, *hblD*), non-hemolytic enterotoxin (*nheA*, *nheB*, *nheC*) and cytotoxin K (*cytK*) genes, which were present in positive control *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 (data not shown).

***B. velezensis* BUU004 genes related to cell adhesion**

Three genes encoding proteins involved in cell adhesion, *ie fbpA* (Pfam no. PF05833; GenBank accession no. TCJ52445.1), *cna* (Pfam no. PF05737; GenBank accession no. TCJ48793.1) and *srtA* (Pfam no. PF00746; GenBank accession no. TCJ49076.1) were present in *B. velezensis* BUU004 genome.

***B. velezensis* BUU004 tolerance to artificial gastric juice and bile salts**

Percentages of viable *B. velezensis* BUU004 following a 3-hour exposure to artificial gastric juice (pH 2.5) and a 24-hour exposure to 0.3% (w/v) oxgall were 73 \pm 2.8% and 98 \pm 5.2% respectively.

DISCUSSION

Bacillus spp are able to synthesize a diversity of antimicrobial substances considered as part of their defense mechanisms to survive and inhibit competing microflora (Achi and Halami, 2016). Based on the beneficial effects of the five *Bacillus* spp previously used as a

putative probiotic consortium in shrimp cultivation (Nimrat *et al*, 2012), this study showed that *B. velezensis* BUU004 exhibited properties of a candidate biopreservative in dried seafood products, i.e. bacteriostatic activity against spoilage and pathogenic bacteria. Recently, Chen *et al* (2018) reported that a crude extract of *B. velezensis* 157 had broad inhibitory spectrum against several human and aquatic animal pathogens, such as *Aeromonas caviae*, *A. hydrophila*, *A. veronii*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Escherichia coli*, *Proteus hauseri*, *Salmonella choleraesuis*, *Sal. enterica* Typhimurium, *Sal. Enteritidis*, *Staph. aureus*, and *Strep. agalactiae*. In accordance with Yi *et al* (2018), *B. velezensis* JW was reported to inhibit growth of fish pathogens, e.g. *A. hydrophila*, *A. salmonicida* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Bacteriostatic effects of *B. velezensis* BUU004 may due to the release alone or in combination of antimicrobial substances, such as polyketides, non-ribosomal peptides and bacteriocins (Chen *et al*, 2018).

Safety evaluation of potential probiotics is of importance and need to be verified prior to being recommended for use in human and animal nutrition, either directly as a feed additive or indirectly as a source of such additives. A novel potential probiotic should be non-pathogenic to the host and not be resistant to any human and veterinary antibiotics (Kavitha *et al*, 2018). This study clearly demonstrated that *B. velezensis* BUU004 possessed such probiotic properties, including sensitivity to a broad range of antibiotics, lack of genes associated with gastrointestinal diseases, carriage of some cell adhesion genes, and tolerance to low pH and bile salts. With regard to the latter property, Kavitha *et al* (2018) observed that *Bacillus* spp were able to withstand a wide range of acidic conditions (pH 1-6) and up to

5% (w/v) bile concentrations, and Borah *et al* (2019) reported strong tolerance of *B. velezensis* DU14 after exposure to low (2-6) pH and 1% (w/v) bile salts.

B. velezensis BUU004 was of intermediate resistance to bacitracin and polymyxin B. Reva *et al* (1995) examined antibiotic susceptibility of *B. subtilis* (30 isolates) and *B. licheniformis* (10 isolates) using a disk diffusion method and observed complete resistance to polymyxin in all strains and low level of susceptibility to polymyxin in strains related to *B. megaterium*. *B. subtilis* strains resistant to bacitracin and polymyxin B were also identified by others (Galizzi *et al*, 1975; Bernard *et al*, 2007). In addition, *B. velezensis* BUU004 was α -hemolytic on human blood agar, although the bacterium did not carry homologs of the well-known hemolysin genes present in pathogenic *B. cereus* (Ramarao and Sanchis, 2013). The partial hemolytic activity might be associated with presence of active surface molecules as *srfA* that codes a surfactin non-ribosomal peptide synthetase was present in *B. velezensis* BUU004 genome. *B. velezensis* H3 exhibited an ability to lyse human blood cells (Seydlová and Svobodová, 2008). In order to obtain safety approval, hemolytic mechanisms of *B. velezensis* BUU004 should be further studied.

Another important component of screening for potential probiotics is the adhesion ability to intestinal epithelial cells, mucus, or constituents of the extracellular matrix (Dunne *et al*, 2001). Host attachment allows probiotic bacteria to access nutrients, grow in suitable environmental conditions and display the beneficial actions effectively. Colonization and persistence of beneficial bacteria in intestinal canals depend, at least in part, on their surface proteins that play a key

role in adherence of the bacteria to host tissues. Although no adhesion capability to intestinal cells was evaluated, several genes encoding proteins related to adhesion characteristics were present in *B. velezensis* BUU004 genome. A probiotic strain of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM displayed ability to attach to intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells by means of multiple cell surface proteins, *ie* fibronectin-binding protein A, Gram-positive cell wall anchor domain, surface-layer protein A and mucin-binding protein (Buck *et al*, 2005). Likewise, Ayala *et al* (2017) reported adhesive properties to extracellular matrix proteins of probiotic *B. subtilis* natto RG436 through fibronectin-binding protein YloA containing two Pfam domains, namely, a FbpA domain predicted to be involved in fibronectin binding and Duf814 domain associated with FbpA domain. *In vivo* evaluation of adherent ability of *B. velezensis* BUU004 strain and its endospores to intestinal epithelial cells should be further established to determine its ability to colonize intestinal tracts.

In summary, the study provided evidence that *B. velezensis* BUU004 exhibited strong antibacterial activity against seafood spoilage and pathogenic bacteria, and properties of a probiotic, namely, non-toxicogenicity, vulnerability to a wide range of human and veterinary antibiotics, absence of hemolysin (*hlyI/clo*, *II*, and *III* homologs) and enterotoxin (*cytK*, *hblACD* and *nheABC*) genes, presence of genes encoding proteins involving cell adhesion (fibronectin-binding, collagen-binding surface and Gram-positive anchor proteins) and high tolerance to gastric and bile salt conditions. Thus, *B. velezensis* BUU004 is a potential probiotic and has a promising potential as safe biopreservative in seafood products.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work was supported by the Royal Golden Jubilee PhD Program of The Thailand Research Fund (PHD/0370/2552) to S Nimrat and N Butkhot and a research grant of Burapha University through the National Research Council of Thailand (33/2556) to S Nimrat. The authors thank colleagues, Ms. Sawitree Pohseepoh and Ms Wichuda Siwapituk, for their kind assistance, and the Faculty of Science, Burapha University for providing equipment and facilities.

REFERENCES

- Achi S, Halami PM. Antimicrobial peptides from *Bacillus* spp: use in antimicrobial packaging A2. In: Barros-Velázquez J, editor. Antimicrobial Food Packaging. San Diego: Academic Press; 2016. p 527-37.
- AlGhuri A, Volski A, Cugini C, *et al*. Safety properties and probiotic potential of *Bacillus subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* B-1895. *Adv Microbiol* 2016; 6: 432-52.
- Ayala FR, Bauman C, Bartolini M, *et al*. Transcriptional regulation of adhesive properties of *Bacillus subtilis* to extracellular matrix proteins through the fibronectin-binding protein YloA. *Mol Microbiol* 2017; 104: 804-21.
- Bernard R, Guiseppi A, Chippaux M, Foglino M, Denizot F. Resistance to bacitracin in *Bacillus subtilis*: unexpected requirement of the BceAB ABC transporter in the control of expression of its own structural genes. *J Bacteriol* 2007; 189: 8636-42.
- Blin K, Wolf T, Chevrette MG, *et al*. antiSMASH 4.0—improvements in chemistry prediction and gene cluster boundary identification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017; 45: W36-41.
- Borah T, Gogoi B, Khataniar A, Gogoi M, Das A, Borah D. Probiotic characterization of indigenous *Bacillus velezensis* strain DU14

- isolated from Apong, a traditionally fermented rice beer of Assam. *Biocatal Agric Biotechnol* 2019; 18: 101008.
- Buck BL, Altermann E, Svingerud T, Klaenhammer TR. Functional analysis of putative adhesion factors in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005; 71: 8344-51.
- Butkhot N, Soodsawaeng P, Samutsan S, Chotmongcol K, Vuthiphandchai V, Nimrat S. New perspectives for surveying and improving Thai dried seafood qualities using antimicrobials produced by *Bacillus velezensis* BUU004 against foodborne pathogens. *ScienceAsia* 2019; 45: 116-26.
- Chen L, Gu W, Xu HY, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Bacillus velezensis* 157 isolated from *Eucommia ulmoides* with pathogenic bacteria inhibiting and lignocellulolytic enzymes production by SSF. *3 Biotech* 2018; 8: 114.
- Chon JW, Kim JH, Lee SJ, et al. Prevalence, phenotypic traits and molecular characterization of emetic toxin-producing *Bacillus cereus* strains isolated from human stools in Korea. *J Appl Microbiol* 2012; 112: 1042-9.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Methods for antimicrobial dilution and disk susceptibility testing of infrequently isolated or fastidious bacteria. 3rd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute; 2016.
- Cutting SM. *Bacillus* probiotics. *Food Microbiol* 2011; 28: 214-20.
- Dunne C, O'Mahony L, Murphy L, et al. *In vitro* selection criteria for probiotic bacteria of human origin: correlation with *in vivo* findings. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001; 73 (2 Suppl): 386S-92S.
- Elshagabee FM, Rokana N, Gulhane RD, Sharma C, Panwar H. *Bacillus* as potential probiotics: status, concerns, and future perspectives. *Front Microbiol* 2017; 8: 1490.
- European Food Safety Authority. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Biological Hazards on *Bacillus cereus* and other *Bacillus* spp in foodstuffs. *EFSA J* 2005; 175: 1-48.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO). Joint FAO/WHO Working Group Report on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food London, Ontario, Canada, 30 April - 1 May 2002. In: Probiotics in food: health and nutritional properties and guidelines for evaluation. Rome: WHO and FAO; 2006. p 39-50.
- Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39 (Web Server Issue): W29-37.
- Galizzi A, Cacco G, Siccardi AG, Mazza G. Mode of action of polymyxin B: physiological studies with *Bacillus subtilis*-resistant mutant. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1975; 8: 366-9.
- Guinebretière MH, Broussolle V, Nguyen-The C. Enterotoxigenic profiles of food-poisoning and food-borne *Bacillus cereus* strains. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; 40: 3053-6.
- Guo XH, Kim JM, Nam HM, Park SY, Kim JM. Screening lactic acid bacteria from swine origins for multistrain probiotics based on *in vitro* functional properties. *Anaerobe* 2010; 16: 321-6.
- Jeon EB, Son SH, Jeewanthi RKC, Lee NK, Paik HD. Characterization of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Lb41, an isolate from kimchi and its application as a probiotic in cottage cheese. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 2016; 25: 1129-33.
- Kavitha M, Raja M, Perumal P. Evaluation of probiotic potential of *Bacillus* spp isolated from the digestive tract of freshwater fish *Labeo calbasu* (Hamilton, 1822). *Aquacult Rep* 2018; 11: 59-69.
- Lefevre M, Racedo SM, Denayrolles M, et al. Safety assessment of *Bacillus subtilis* CU1 for use as a probiotic in humans. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 2017; 83: 54-65.
- Lesbros-Pantoflickova D, Corthesy-Theulaz I, Blum AL. *Helicobacter pylori* and probiotics. *J Nutr* 2007; 137 (3 Suppl 2): 812S-8S.
- Liu B, Zheng D, Jin Q, Chen L, Yang J.

- VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018; 47 : D687-92.
- Nimrat S, Butkhot N, Samutsan S, Chotmongkol K, Boonthai T, Vuthiphandchai V. A survey in bacteriological quality of traditional dried seafood products distributed in Chon Buri, Thailand. *Sci Technol Asia* 2019; 20: 102-14.
- Nimrat S, Suksawat S, Boonthai T, Vuthiphandchai V. Potential *Bacillus* probiotics enhance bacterial numbers, water quality and growth during early development of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Vet Microbiol* 2012; 159: 443-50.
- Nimrat S, Suksawat S, Maleeweach P, Vuthiphandchai V. Effect of different shrimp pond bottom soil treatments on the change of physical characteristics and pathogenic bacteria in pond bottom soil. *Aquaculture* 2008; 285: 123-9.
- Nithya V, Halami PM. Evaluation of the probiotic characteristics of *Bacillus* species isolated from different food sources. *Ann Microbiol* 2013; 63: 129-37.
- Ramarao N, Sanchis V. The pore-forming haemolysins of *Bacillus cereus*: a review. *Toxins* (Basel) 2013; 5: 1119-39.
- Reva ON, Vyunitskaya VA, Reznik SR, Kozachko IA, Smirnov VV. Antibiotic susceptibility as a taxonomic characteristic of the genus *Bacillus*. *Int J Syst Microbiol* 1995; 45: 409-11.
- Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fonden R, Mättö J, Mattila-Sandholm T. Probiotic bacteria: safety, functional and technological properties. *J Biotechnol* 2000; 84: 197-215.
- Seydlová G, Svobodová J. Review of surfactin chemical properties and the potential biomedical applications. *Cent Eur J Med* 2008; 3: 123-33.
- Stenfors LP, Granum PE. Psychrotolerant species from the *Bacillus cereus* group are not necessarily *Bacillus weihenstephanensis*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2001; 197: 223-8.
- Thungkao S, Muangharm S. Prevalence of *Bacillus* spp and *Bacillus cereus* in dried seasoned squid products. Proceedings of 46th Kasetsart University Annual Conference; Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand; 2008. p 138-46.
- Tompkins TA, Xu X, Ahmarani J. A comprehensive review of post-market clinical studies performed in adults with an Asian probiotic formulation. *Benef Microbes* 2009; 1: 93-106.
- Yi Y, Zhang Z, Zhao F, Liu H, Yu L, Zha J, Wang G. Probiotic potential of *Bacillus velezensis* JW: antimicrobial activity against fish pathogenic bacteria and immune enhancement effects on *Carassius auratus*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2018; 78: 322-30.
- Yilmaz M, Soran H, Beyatli Y. Antimicrobial activities of some *Bacillus* spp strains isolated from the soil. *Microbiol Res* 2006; 161: 127-31.